

# PHYSICS 513, QUANTUM FIELD THEORY

## Homework 2

Due Tuesday, 16th September 2003

JACOB LEWIS BOURJAILY

1. a) Studying classical field theory, we derived the Euler-Lagrange equations of motion,

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} - \partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} = 0.$$

It is trivial to show that a field which is described by the Lagrangian given has the following equation of motion:

$$\begin{aligned} -m^2 \phi - \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi} - \partial_\mu \partial^\mu \phi &= 0, \\ \implies (\partial_\mu \partial^\mu + m^2) \phi &= -\frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

Which is precisely the Klein-Gordon equation for a field in a potential  $V$ .

- b) The canonical momentum is,

$$\pi = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_0 \phi)} = \partial_0 \phi. \quad (1.2)$$

Using  $\pi$ , we write the Hamiltonian for the field.

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \int d^3x \mathcal{H} = \int d^3x (\pi \partial_0 \phi - \mathcal{L}), \\ &= \int d^3x (\pi^2 - 1/2(\partial_0 \phi)^2 + 1/2(\nabla \phi)^2 + 1/2m^2 \phi^2 + V(\phi)), \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x (\pi^2 + (\nabla \phi)^2 + m^2 \phi^2 + 2V(\phi)). \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

- c) With a complex scalar field, the Lagrangian becomes

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial_\mu \phi^* \partial^\mu \phi - m^2 \phi^* \phi - V(\phi^* \phi).$$

Following the same procedure as in part (a) above, we use the Euler-Lagrange equation to show that

$$\begin{aligned} -m^2 \phi^* \phi - \phi^* \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi} - \phi \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi^*} - \partial_\mu \phi^* \partial^\mu \phi &= 0. \\ \implies (\partial_\mu \partial^\mu + m^2) \phi^* \phi &= -\phi^* \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi} - \phi \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi^*} \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

It is relatively easy to show that canonical momenta of the field are

$$\begin{aligned} \pi &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_0 \phi)} = \partial_0 \phi^*; \\ \pi^* &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_0 \phi^*)} = \partial_0 \phi. \end{aligned}$$

Using this expression for  $\pi$ , we will proceed as above to compute the Hamiltonian.

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \int d^3x \mathcal{H} = \int d^3x (\pi \partial_0 \phi - \mathcal{L}), \\ &= \int d^3x (\pi^* \pi - 1/2\pi^* \pi + 1/2\nabla \phi^* \nabla \phi + 1/2m^2 \phi^* \phi + V(\phi^* \phi)), \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x (\pi^* \pi + \nabla \phi^* \nabla \phi + m^2 \phi^* \phi + 2V(\phi^* \phi)). \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

- d) Let us derive the Noether current generated by a *global* phase rotation  $\phi \rightarrow \phi' = e^{i\alpha}\phi$ . It is clear that  $\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{L}$  because only modulus terms of  $\phi$  appear in  $\mathcal{L}$ . We rewrite the global phase rotation to the first order as

$$\begin{aligned}\phi &\rightarrow \phi' = e^{i\alpha}\phi \approx (1 + i\alpha)\phi \Rightarrow \Delta\phi = i\phi; \\ \phi^* &\rightarrow \phi'^* = e^{-i\alpha}\phi^* \approx (1 - i\alpha)\phi^* \Rightarrow \Delta\phi^* = -i\phi^*.\end{aligned}\tag{1.6}$$

We showed in class that the conserved Noether current associated with a symmetry is specified by

$$\begin{aligned}j^\mu &= \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu\phi)}\Delta\phi + \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu\phi^*)}\Delta\phi^*, \\ &= (i\phi\partial^\mu\phi^* - i\phi^*\partial^\mu\phi), \\ &= i(\phi\partial^\mu\phi^* - \phi^*\partial^\mu\phi).\end{aligned}\tag{1.7}$$

2. a) The Lagrangian for a source-free electromagnetic field is specified by

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} \quad \text{where} \quad F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu.\tag{2.1}$$

It is clear that  $F_{\mu\nu}$  is antisymmetric,  $F_{\mu\nu} = -F_{\nu\mu}$ . From our knowledge of the metric tensor in Minkowski space, it is also clear that  $F_{\mu\nu} = -F^{\mu\nu}$  if either  $\mu$  or  $\nu$  is zero and  $F_{\mu\nu} = F^{\mu\nu}$  if both  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are nonzero. Because the field strength tensor is antisymmetric, our calculation will be much easier.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} &= -\frac{1}{2}(F_{01}F^{01} + F_{02}F^{02} + F_{03}F^{03} + F_{12}F^{12} + F_{13}F^{13} + F_{23}F^{23}), \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(F_{01}^2 + F_{02}^2 + F_{03}^2 - F_{12}^2 - F_{13}^2 - F_{23}^2), \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[(\partial_0 A_1 - \partial_1 A_0)^2 + (\partial_0 A_2 - \partial_2 A_0)^2 + (\partial_0 A_3 - \partial_3 A_0)^2 \\ &\quad - (\partial_1 A_2 - \partial_2 A_1)^2 - (\partial_1 A_3 - \partial_3 A_1)^2 - (\partial_2 A_3 - \partial_3 A_2)^2], \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{E}^2 - \mathbf{B}^2).\end{aligned}$$

Now, let us try to find the Euler-Lagrange equations for motion for this field. Note that from our work above it is clear that,

$$\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial A_\nu} = 0.$$

After a short while of staring at the above equations, you should see that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu A_\nu)} &= \begin{cases} (\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu) & \text{if } \mu = 0 \text{ or } \nu = 0, \\ -(\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu) & \text{if } \mu, \nu \neq 0, \end{cases} \\ &= -F^{\mu\nu} = F^{\nu\mu}.\end{aligned}$$

So the equations of motion are simply

$$\partial_\mu F^{\nu\mu} = 0.\tag{2.2}$$

Knowing that  $E^i = -F^{0i}$  and  $\epsilon^{ijk}B^k = F^{ji}$ , we can rewrite (2.2) as

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\mu F^{0\mu} = \partial_i F^{0i} = 0 &= -\partial_1 E^1 - \partial_2 E^2 - \partial_3 E^3 = 0, \\ \therefore \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} &= 0.\end{aligned}\tag{2.3}$$

The other equations also can be reduced to familiarity. Specifically,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\mu F^{\nu\mu} = \partial_\mu F^{k\mu} = 0, \\ \implies \partial_0 F^{k0} = \partial_i F^{ki} = \epsilon^{ijk}\partial_i B_j, \\ \therefore \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \partial_0 \mathbf{E}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.4}$$

These two equations represent half of Maxwell's equations for a source-free field. The other two equations relate the vector potential  $A_\nu$  with the  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  fields. These two other equations were 'given.' We needed to know that  $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{E} = -\partial_0 \mathbf{A} - \nabla A_0$  to write down the components of  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  in terms of  $F_{\mu\nu}$ .

- b) We construct the energy-momentum tensor,  $T^{\mu\nu}$ , (using the equation derived in my unpublished QFT notes),

$$T^{\mu}_{\nu} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_{\mu} A_{\lambda})} \partial_{\nu} A_{\lambda} - \mathcal{L} \delta^{\mu}_{\nu}, \quad (2.5)$$

It should be clear that by simply applying our results of part (a)

$$T^{\mu\nu} = F^{\lambda\mu} \partial^{\nu} A_{\lambda} - \mathcal{L} \delta^{\mu}_{\nu}.$$

This is not symmetric in  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ . Remember that the important aspect of  $T^{\mu\nu}$  is that it is *conserved*, i.e.  $\partial_{\mu} T^{\mu\nu} = 0$ . To make  $T^{\mu\nu}$  easier to work with, consider changing it to

$$\hat{T}^{\mu\nu} = T^{\mu\nu} + \partial_{\lambda} K^{\lambda\mu\nu}.$$

Where  $K^{\lambda\mu\nu}$  is antisymmetric in its first two indices. By this antisymmetry, it is clear that

$$\partial_{\mu} \hat{T}^{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu} T^{\mu\nu} + \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\lambda} K^{\lambda\mu\nu} = 0.$$

So  $\hat{T}^{\mu\nu}$  is a conserved quantity for any  $K^{\lambda\mu\nu}$  that is antisymmetric in its first two indices. Let  $K^{\lambda\mu\nu} = F^{\mu\lambda} A^{\nu}$  which is certainly antisymmetric in  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  because of  $F^{\mu\lambda}$ . This allows us to rewrite  $\hat{T}^{\mu\nu}$  in a much simpler form. (Note the use of the Euler-Lagrange equations to simplify line 2 below).

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{T}^{\mu\nu} &= T^{\mu\nu} + \partial_{\lambda} F^{\mu\lambda} A^{\nu}, \\ &= T^{\mu\nu} + A^{\nu} (\partial_{\lambda} F^{\mu\lambda}) + F^{\mu\lambda} (\partial_{\lambda} A^{\nu}), \\ &= T^{\mu\nu} + F^{\mu\lambda} (\partial_{\lambda} A^{\nu}), \\ &= F^{\lambda\mu} \partial^{\nu} A_{\lambda} + F^{\mu\lambda} \partial_{\lambda} A^{\nu} - \mathcal{L} \delta^{\mu}_{\nu}, \\ &= F^{\lambda\mu} (\partial^{\nu} A_{\lambda} - \partial_{\lambda} A^{\nu}) - \mathcal{L} \delta^{\mu}_{\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

It should be clear that  $\hat{T}^{\mu\nu} = \hat{T}^{\nu\mu}$ . Now we are ready to derive the Hamiltonian and total momentum from  $\hat{T}^{\mu\nu}$ . First, the Hamiltonian is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{E} &= \hat{T}^{00}, \\ &= E^i (\partial_i A^0 - \partial^0 A_i) - \mathcal{L}, \\ &= \mathbf{E}^2 - E^i \partial^0 A_i - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{E}^2 - \mathbf{B}^2), \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{E}^2 + \mathbf{B}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Note that in the last line of the derivation we had to set  $E^i \partial^0 A_i = 0$ . The total momentum of the field is

$$\begin{aligned} S^k &= T^{0k} = -E^i (\partial^i A^k - \partial^k A^i), \\ &= E_i (\partial^i A^k - \partial^k A^i), \\ &= E_i \epsilon^{ijk} B_k, \\ \therefore \mathbf{S} &= \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

3. a) The inner product,  $(f, g)$ , will be defined

$$(f, g) \equiv i \int d^3x f^*(x) \partial_0 g(x) - g(x) \partial_0 f^*(x),$$

We show that  $(f, g)$  is independent of time. This is demonstrated by direct computation.

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_0(f, g) &= i \int d^3x \partial_0 [f^*(x) \partial_0 g(x) - g(x) \partial_0 f^*(x)], \\ &= i \int d^3x [\partial_0 f^*(x) \partial_0 g(x) + f^*(x) \partial_0^2 g(x) - g(x) \partial_0^2 f^*(x) - \partial_0 f^*(x) \partial_0 g(x)], \\ &= i \int d^3x [f^*(x) \partial_0^2 g(x) - g(x) \partial_0^2 f^*(x)]. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Klein-Gordon equation, this reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_0(f, g) &= i \int d^3x f^*(\nabla^2 - m^2)g - g(\nabla^2 - m^2)f^*, \\ &= i \int d^3x f^* \nabla^2 g - g \nabla^2 f^*. \end{aligned}$$

We use Green's Theorem to reduce the equation above to

$$\partial_0(f, g) = i \int_S (f^* \nabla g - g \nabla f^*) \vec{n} \cdot d\vec{a} = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

The integral vanishes because we may assume that the fields go to zero at infinity.

- b) Recall that the inverse Fourier transform of a Fourier transform of a function is the function itself.

$$f(k) = \int d^3x \left[ e^{ikx} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-ikx} f(k) \right].$$

Note that when we will express  $\phi(x)$  in terms of ladder operators below,  $\phi$  will be a function of the 4-vectors  $k$  and  $x$ . There is a minus sign to keep track of that is different from the book's 3-vector representation.

$$\phi(x) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{a}{\sqrt{2E_k}} \left( a_k e^{-ikx} + a_k^\dagger e^{ikx} \right).$$

We are now ready to derive the required identity. It will proceed by direct calculation.

$$\begin{aligned} a_k = (f_k(x), \phi(x)) &= i \int d^3x (f^* \partial_0 \phi - \phi \partial_0 f^*), \\ &= i \int d^3x \left[ e^{ikx} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2E_k} \left( -iE_k a_k e^{-ikx} + iE_k a_k^\dagger e^{ikx} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - e^{ikx} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{iE_k}{2E_k} \left( a_k e^{-ikx} + a_k^\dagger e^{ikx} \right) \right], \\ &= \int d^3x e^{ikx} \left[ \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2} \left( a_k e^{-ikx} - a_k^\dagger e^{ikx} + a_k e^{-ikx} + a_k^\dagger e^{ikx} \right) \right], \\ &= \int d^3x e^{ikx} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-ikx} a_k = a_k, \\ &\quad \therefore a_k = (f_k(x), \phi(x)) = a_k. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

$\delta\pi\epsilon\rho \quad \acute{\epsilon}\delta\epsilon\iota \quad \delta\epsilon\acute{\iota}\xi\alpha\iota$

- c) Let us derive the the commutation relation  $[a_{\mathbf{p}}, a_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger] = (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}')$ . To find this commutation relation, we will first consider the fields in terms of ladder operators.

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\mathbf{x}) &= \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_{\mathbf{p}}}} (a_{\mathbf{p}} + a_{-\mathbf{p}}^\dagger) e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}}; \\ \pi(\mathbf{y}) &= \int \frac{d^3 p'}{(2\pi)^3} (-i) \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{\mathbf{p}'}}{2}} (a_{\mathbf{p}'} - a_{-\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger) e^{i\mathbf{p}'\cdot\mathbf{y}}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that because the  $\mathbf{p}$ 's are dummy variables, we cannot assume they are the same when we “mix” the integration, so we have called one  $\mathbf{p}'$ .

$$\begin{aligned}[\phi(\mathbf{x}), \pi(\mathbf{y})] &= i\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \\ &= \int \frac{d^3 p d^3 p'}{(2\pi)^6} \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{\mathbf{p}'}}{\omega_{\mathbf{p}}}} \frac{-i}{2} \left( a_{\mathbf{p}} a_{\mathbf{p}'} - a_{\mathbf{p}} a_{-\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger + a_{-\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}'} - a_{-\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{-\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger - a_{\mathbf{p}'} a_{\mathbf{p}} - a_{\mathbf{p}'} a_{-\mathbf{p}}^\dagger + a_{-\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}} + a_{-\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger a_{-\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \right) e^{i(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{p}'\cdot\mathbf{y})} \\ &= \int \frac{d^3 p d^3 p'}{(2\pi)^6} \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{\mathbf{p}'}}{\omega_{\mathbf{p}}}} \frac{i}{2} \left( a_{\mathbf{p}} a_{-\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger - a_{-\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}} + a_{\mathbf{p}'} a_{-\mathbf{p}}^\dagger - a_{-\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}'} \right) e^{i(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{p}'\cdot\mathbf{y})} \text{ (cancelling like terms by symmetry)} \\ &= \int \frac{d^3 p d^3 p'}{(2\pi)^6} \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{\mathbf{p}'}}{\omega_{\mathbf{p}}}} \frac{i}{2} \left( [a_{\mathbf{p}}, a_{-\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger] + [a_{\mathbf{p}'}, a_{-\mathbf{p}}^\dagger] \right) e^{i(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{p}'\cdot\mathbf{y})} \text{ (note that } [a_{\mathbf{p}}, a_{-\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger] = [a_{\mathbf{p}'}, a_{-\mathbf{p}}^\dagger]) \\ &= \int \frac{d^3 p d^3 p'}{(2\pi)^6} \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{\mathbf{p}'}}{\omega_{\mathbf{p}}}} i [a_{\mathbf{p}}, a_{-\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger] e^{i(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{p}'\cdot\mathbf{y})} = i\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}).\end{aligned}\tag{3.3}$$

Note that by the properties of the Dirac  $\delta$  functional,

$$\int \frac{d^3 p d^3 p'}{(2\pi)^3} i e^{i(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{p}'\cdot\mathbf{y})} = i\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}).$$

Applying this knowledge to (3.3) from above,  $[a_{\mathbf{p}}, a_{-\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger]$  must satisfy

$$\int \frac{d^3 p d^3 p'}{(2\pi)^3} \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{\mathbf{p}'}}{\omega_{\mathbf{p}}}} [a_{\mathbf{p}}, a_{-\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger] = 1.$$

This is identically satisfied if and only if we have that

$$[a_{\mathbf{p}}, a_{-\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger] = (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}').$$

You can check this statement by noticing that this implies

$$\int \frac{d^3 p d^3 p'}{(2\pi)^3} \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{\mathbf{p}'}}{\omega_{\mathbf{p}}}} [a_{\mathbf{p}}, a_{-\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger] = \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{\mathbf{p}}}{\omega_{\mathbf{p}}}} = 1.$$

Therefore, noting our use of  $-\mathbf{p}$ , we may conclude that

$$[a_{\mathbf{p}}, a_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger] = (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}')\tag{3.4}$$

ὄπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι